

Complementation of Coalgebra Automata

Christian Kissig (University of Leicester)
joint work with
Yde Venema (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

07 Sept 2009 / Università degli Studi di Udine / CALCO 2009

Goal of the Talk

Theorem

The class of languages recognisable by \mathcal{T} -coalgebra automata is closed under taking complements.

Goal of the Talk

Theorem

The class of languages recognisable by \mathcal{T} -coalgebra automata is closed under taking complements.

- ▶ \mathcal{T} preserves weak pullbacks
- ▶ \mathcal{T} restricts to finite sets
- ▶ \mathcal{T} is standard

Outline

1. One Step Complementation Lemma
 - ▶ Moss' Modality
 - ▶ Boolean Dual of Moss' Modality
2. Game Bisimulation
 - ▶ Parity Graph Games
 - ▶ Basic Sets and Local Games
 - ▶ Powers and Game Normalisation
 - ▶ Game Bisimulation
3. Complementation Lemma for Coalgebra Automata
 - ▶ Coalgebra Automata
 - ▶ Complementation of Trans-alternating Automata
 - ▶ Equivalence of Transalternating and Alternating Automata

Outline

1. **One Step Complementation Lemma**
 - ▶ **Moss' Modality**
 - ▶ **Boolean Dual of Moss' Modality**
2. Game Bisimulation
 - ▶ Parity Graph Games
 - ▶ Basic Sets and Local Games
 - ▶ Powers and Game Normalisation
 - ▶ Game Bisimulation
3. Complementation Lemma for Coalgebra Automata
 - ▶ Coalgebra Automata
 - ▶ Complementation of Trans-alternating Automata
 - ▶ Equivalence of Transalternating and Alternating Automata

Moss' Modality

Definition (Moss' Modality)

- ▶ $\nabla\alpha \in \mathcal{L}TQ$ where $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}Q$

where \mathcal{L} is the functor taking a set Q to the set of bounded lattice terms $t ::= q \in Q \mid \top \mid \perp \mid t \wedge t \mid t \vee t$ over Q

Moss' Modality

Definition (Moss' Modality)

- ▶ $\nabla\alpha \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}Q$ where $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}Q$
- ▶ Let $\mathbb{S} = \langle S, \sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}S, s_I \rangle$ and $s \in S$, then

$$\mathbb{S}, s \Vdash \nabla\alpha \text{ iff } (\sigma(s), \alpha) \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}(\Vdash)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the functor taking a set Q to the set of bounded lattice terms $t ::= q \in Q \mid \top \mid \perp \mid t \wedge t \mid t \vee t$ over Q

Moss' Modality

Definition (Moss' Modality)

- ▶ $\nabla\alpha \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}Q$ where $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}Q$
- ▶ Let $\mathbb{S} = \langle S, \sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}S, s_I \rangle$ and $s \in S$, then

$$\mathbb{S}, s \Vdash \nabla\alpha \text{ iff } (\sigma(s), \alpha) \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}(\Vdash)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the functor taking a set Q to the set of bounded lattice terms $t ::= q \in Q \mid \top \mid \perp \mid t \wedge t \mid t \vee t$ over Q

Example

For $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P}_\omega$, we get $\nabla\alpha \equiv \Box \bigvee \alpha \wedge \bigwedge \diamond[\alpha]$

Positive Coalgebraic Logics

Definition (Positive Coalgebraic Logics)

- ▶ $\mathcal{L}Q$ is the set of *depth-zero formulas*

Positive Coalgebraic Logics

Definition (Positive Coalgebraic Logics)

- ▶ $\mathcal{L}Q$ is the set of *depth-zero formulas*
- ▶ $\mathcal{L}T_\omega^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q$ is the set of *depth-one formulas*

where

- ▶ $T_\omega(X) := \bigcup \{TY \mid Y \subseteq_\omega X\}$ is the finitary version of T
- ▶ $T_\omega^\nabla(X) := \{\nabla\alpha \mid \alpha \in T_\omega X\}$

One-Step Semantics of Positive Coalgebraic Logics

Definition (One-Step Semantics)

- ▶ Valuation $V : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$

One-Step Semantics of Positive Coalgebraic Logics

Definition (One-Step Semantics)

- ▶ Valuation $V : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$
- ▶ $s \Vdash_0^V q$ iff $s \in V(q)$
- ▶ $\sigma \Vdash_1^V \nabla \alpha$ if $(\sigma, \alpha) \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}(\Vdash_0^V)$

One-Step Semantics of Positive Coalgebraic Logics

Definition (One-Step Semantics)

- ▶ Valuation $V : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$
- ▶ $s \Vdash_0^V q$ iff $s \in V(q)$
- ▶ $\sigma \Vdash_1^V \nabla \alpha$ if $(\sigma, \alpha) \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}(\Vdash_0^V)$

Definition (Boolean Duals of Depth-One Formulas)

Depth-Zero Formulas a and b are boolean duals if for all sets S , all valuations $V : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$, and all $s \in S$

$$s \not\Vdash_0^{V^c} a \text{ iff } s \Vdash_0^V b$$

where

- ▶ $V^c(q) := \mathcal{P}(S) \setminus V(q)$ is the complementary valuation

One-Step Semantics of Positive Coalgebraic Logics

Definition (One-Step Semantics)

- ▶ Valuation $V : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$
- ▶ $s \Vdash_0^V q$ iff $s \in V(q)$
- ▶ $\sigma \Vdash_1^V \nabla \alpha$ if $(\sigma, \alpha) \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}(\Vdash_0^V)$

Definition (Boolean Duals of Depth-One Formulas)

Depth-One Formulas a and b are boolean duals if for all sets S , all valuations $V : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$, and all $s \in S$

$$s \not\Vdash_1^{V^c} a \text{ iff } s \Vdash_1^V b$$

where

- ▶ $V^c(q) := \mathcal{P}(S) \setminus V(q)$ is the complementary valuation

One-Step Complementation Lemma

Definition (Boolean Dual of ∇)

Let $\nabla\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega^\nabla Q$, define a set $D(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_\omega \mathcal{P} Q$ as follows

$$D(\alpha) := \left\{ \Phi \in \mathcal{T}_\omega \mathcal{P}_\omega \text{Base}(\alpha) \mid (\alpha, \Phi) \notin (\overline{\mathcal{T}} \notin) \right\}$$

where $\text{Base}(\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega Q)$ is the smallest $X \subseteq_\omega Q$ such that $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega X$

One-Step Complementation Lemma

Definition (Boolean Dual of ∇)

Let $\nabla\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega^\nabla Q$, define a set $D(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_\omega \mathcal{P} Q$ as follows

$$D(\alpha) := \left\{ \Phi \in \mathcal{T}_\omega \mathcal{P}_\omega \text{Base}(\alpha) \mid (\alpha, \Phi) \notin (\overline{\mathcal{T}} \notin) \right\}$$

where $\text{Base}(\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega Q)$ is the smallest $X \subseteq_\omega Q$ such that $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega X$

$$\Delta\alpha := \bigvee \left\{ \nabla(\mathcal{T} \wedge) \Phi \mid \Phi \in D(\alpha) \right\}$$

One-Step Complementation Lemma

Definition (Boolean Dual of ∇)

Let $\nabla\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega^\nabla Q$, define a set $D(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_\omega \mathcal{P}Q$ as follows

$$D(\alpha) := \left\{ \Phi \in \mathcal{T}_\omega \mathcal{P}_\omega \text{Base}(\alpha) \mid (\alpha, \Phi) \notin (\overline{\mathcal{T}} \notin) \right\}$$

where $\text{Base}(\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega Q)$ is the smallest $X \subseteq_\omega Q$ such that $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega X$

$$\Delta\alpha := \bigvee \left\{ \nabla(\mathcal{T} \wedge) \Phi \mid \Phi \in D(\alpha) \right\}$$

Example

For $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P}_\omega$, we get $\Delta\alpha := \nabla\emptyset \vee \bigwedge \{ \nabla\{a\} \mid a \in \alpha \} \vee \nabla\{\bigwedge \alpha, \top\}$.

One-Step Complementation Lemma

Theorem (One-Step Complementation Lemma)

For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_\omega Q$, $\nabla\alpha$ and $\Delta\alpha$ are Boolean duals.

*For all sets S , all valuations $V : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$, and all $s \in S$,
 $s \not\models_1^{V^c} \nabla\alpha$ iff $s \models_1^V \Delta\alpha$*

One-Step Dualisation

Definition (One-Step Dualisation)

$$\delta_0 : \mathcal{L}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}Q$$

$$\delta_0(q) := q$$

$$\delta_0(\bigwedge \phi) := \bigvee \delta_0[\phi]$$

$$\delta_0(\bigvee \phi) := \bigwedge \delta_0[\phi]$$

$$\delta_1 : \mathcal{L}T_\omega^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T_\omega^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q$$

$$\delta_1(\nabla \alpha) := \Delta(\mathcal{T} \delta_0) \alpha$$

$$\delta_1(\bigwedge \phi) := \bigvee \delta_1[\phi]$$

$$\delta_1(\bigvee \phi) := \bigwedge \delta_1[\phi]$$

One-Step Dualisation

Definition (One-Step Dualisation)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \delta_0 : \mathcal{L}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}Q & \delta_1 : \mathcal{L}T_\omega^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T_\omega^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q \\ \delta_0(q) := q & \delta_1(\nabla\alpha) := \Delta(\mathcal{T}\delta_0)\alpha \\ \delta_0(\wedge\phi) := \vee\delta_0[\phi] & \delta_1(\wedge\phi) := \vee\delta_1[\phi] \\ \delta_0(\vee\phi) := \wedge\delta_0[\phi] & \delta_1(\vee\phi) := \wedge\delta_1[\phi] \end{array}$$

Corollary

For any $a \in \mathcal{L}T_\omega^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q$, the depth-one formulas a and $\delta_1(a)$ are Boolean duals.

For all sets S , all valuations $V : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$, and all $s \in S$,
 $s \not\models_1^{V^c} a$ iff $s \Vdash_1^V \Delta\delta_1(a)$

Outline

1. One Step Complementation Lemma
 - ▶ Moss' Modality
 - ▶ Boolean Dual of Moss' Modality
2. **Game Bisimulation**
 - ▶ **Parity Graph Games**
 - ▶ **Basic Sets and Local Games**
 - ▶ **Powers and Game Normalisation**
 - ▶ **Game Bisimulation**
3. Complementation Lemma for Coalgebra Automata
 - ▶ Coalgebra Automata
 - ▶ Complementation of Trans-alternating Automata
 - ▶ Equivalence of Trans-alternating and Alternating Automata

Parity Graph Games

Definition (Arena)

Arenas of parity graph games are structures

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, v_I, \Omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rangle$$

- ▶ sets $V = V_0 \uplus V_1$ of positions
- ▶ an edge relation $E \subseteq V \times V$
- ▶ an initial position $v_I \in V$
- ▶ a priority function $\Omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with finite range

Parity Graph Games

Definition (Arena)

Arenas of parity graph games are structures

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, v_I, \Omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rangle$$

- ▶ sets $V = V_0 \uplus V_1$ of positions
- ▶ an edge relation $E \subseteq V \times V$
- ▶ an initial position $v_I \in V$
- ▶ a priority function $\Omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with finite range

Definition (Winning Condition)

Player $\Pi \in \{0, 1\}$ ($\Sigma = 1 - \Pi$) wins a total play p of \mathcal{G} iff

- ▶ p finite and $last(p) \in V_\Pi$
- ▶ p infinite and largest priority occurring infinitely often has parity Π

Basic Sets

▶ $\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, v_I, \Omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rangle$

Definition

We call a set $B \subseteq V$ *basic* if

1. $v_I \in B$
2. any total play from $v \in B$ either ends in a terminal position or it passes through another position in B
3. $v \in B$ iff $\Omega(v) > 0$

Local Games

- ▶ $\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, v_I, \Omega \rangle$ with basic set $B \subseteq V$, $b \in B$

Definition (Local Game Trees)

$$\mathcal{T}^b = \langle V_0^b, V_1^b, E^b, (b) \rangle$$

- ▶ $V^b := \left\{ \beta \in V^* \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{first}(\beta) = b, \\ \forall i < |\beta|. \beta(i) \in B \implies i = 0 \text{ or } i = |\beta| - 1 \end{array} \right\}$
- ▶ $V_{\Pi}^b := \{\beta \in V^b \mid \text{last}(\beta) \in V_{\Pi}\}$ for both $\Pi \in \{0, 1\}$
- ▶ $E^b(\beta) := \{\beta v \mid v \in E(\beta)\}$

Powers

- ▶ $\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, v_I, \Omega' \rangle$ with basic set $B \subseteq V$
- ▶ $b \in B, T^b = \langle V_0^b, V_1^b, E^b, (b) \rangle$

Definition (Powers)

We define the power $P_\Pi(b) \subseteq B$ of $\Pi \in \{0, 1\}$ ($\Sigma = 1 - \Pi$) as

- ▶ If $\beta \in \text{Leaves}(T^b)$, put $P_\Pi(\beta) := \{\{\text{last}(\beta)\}\}$
- ▶ If $\beta \notin \text{Leaves}(T^b)$, put

$$P_\Pi(\beta) := \begin{cases} \bigcup \{P_\Pi(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in E^b(\beta)\} & \text{if } \beta \in V_\Pi^b \\ \left\{ \bigcup_{\gamma \in E^b(\beta)} Y_\gamma \mid Y_\gamma \in P_\Pi(\gamma), \text{ all } \gamma \right\} & \text{if } \beta \in V_\Sigma^b \end{cases}$$

- ▶ $P_\Pi(b) := P_\Pi((b))$

Powers

- ▶ $\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, v_I, \Omega \rangle$, basic set $B \subseteq V$
- ▶ $\Pi \in \{0, 1\}$, $\Sigma = 1 - \Pi$

Proposition

Let W be a subset of B . Then the following are equivalent:

1. $W \in P_\Pi(b)$;
2. Π has a surviving strategy f in \mathcal{G}^b such that W is the set of next basic positions in some play consistent with f

Powers

- ▶ $\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, v_I, \Omega \rangle$, basic set $B \subseteq V$
- ▶ $\Pi \in \{0, 1\}$, $\Sigma = 1 - \Pi$

Proposition

Let W be a subset of B . Then the following are equivalent:

1. $W \in P_\Pi(b)$;
2. Π has a surviving strategy f in \mathcal{G}^b such that W is the set of next basic positions in some play consistent with f

Proposition

The following are equivalent

1. $\emptyset \in P_\Pi(b)$
2. $P_\Sigma(b) = \emptyset$
3. Π has a local winning strategy in \mathcal{G}^b

Game Bisimulation

- ▶ $\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, \Omega \rangle$, basic set $B \subseteq V$, $\Pi \in \{0, 1\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{G}' = \langle V'_0, V'_1, E', \Omega' \rangle$, basic set $B' \subseteq V'$, $\Pi' \in \{0', 1'\}$

Definition (Game Simulation)

A Π, Π' -game simulation is a relation $Z \subseteq B \times B'$ such that for all $v \in V$ and $v' \in V'$ with vZv' , Z satisfies

the **structural conditions**

- ▶ (pro) $\forall W \in P_{\Pi}^{\mathcal{G}}(v). \exists W' \in P_{\Pi'}^{\mathcal{G}'}(v'). \forall w' \in W'. \exists w \in W. wZw'$,
- ▶ (op) $\forall W' \in P_{\Sigma'}^{\mathcal{G}'}(v'). \exists W \in P_{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{G}}(v). \forall w \in W. \exists w' \in W'. wZw'$,

and the **priority conditions**

- ▶ (parity) $\Omega(v) \bmod 2 = \Pi$ iff $\Omega'(v') \bmod 2 = \Pi'$,
- ▶ (contraction) for all $v, w \in V$ and $v', w' \in V'$ with vZv' and wZw' , $\Omega(v) \leq \Omega(w)$ iff $\Omega(v') \leq \Omega(w')$.

Game Bisimulation

- ▶ $\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, \Omega \rangle$, basic set $B \subseteq V$, $\Pi \in \{0, 1\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{G}' = \langle V'_0, V'_1, E', \Omega' \rangle$, basic set $B' \subseteq V'$, $\Pi' \in \{0', 1'\}$

Definition (Game Bisimulation)

$Z \subseteq B \times B'$ is a Π, Π' -game bisimulation if

- ▶ Z is a Π, Π' -game simulation
- ▶ Z^\sim is a Π', Π -game simulation

Game Bisimulation

- ▶ $\mathcal{G} = \langle V_0, V_1, E, \Omega \rangle$, basic set $B \subseteq V$, $\Pi \in \{0, 1\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{G}' = \langle V'_0, V'_1, E', \Omega' \rangle$, basic set $B' \subseteq V'$, $\Pi' \in \{0', 1'\}$

Definition (Game Bisimulation)

$Z \subseteq B \times B'$ is a Π, Π' -game bisimulation if

- ▶ Z is a Π, Π' -game simulation
- ▶ Z^\sim is a Π', Π -game simulation

Theorem

If $Z \subseteq B \times B'$ Π, Π' -game bisimulation between parity graph games \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' , then

$$\text{if } vZv' \text{ then } v \in \text{Win}_\Pi(\mathcal{G}) \iff v' \in \text{Win}_{\Pi'}(\mathcal{G}')$$

Outline

1. One Step Complementation Lemma
 - ▶ Moss' Modality
 - ▶ Boolean Dual of Moss' Modality
2. Game Bisimulation
 - ▶ Parity Graph Games
 - ▶ Basic Sets and Local Games
 - ▶ Powers and Game Normalisation
 - ▶ Game Bisimulation
3. **Complementation Lemma for Coalgebra Automata**
 - ▶ **Coalgebra Automata**
 - ▶ **Complementation of Trans-alternating Automata**
 - ▶ **Equivalence of Trans-alternating and Alternating Automata**

Alternating Automata, Syntax

Definition (Alternating Automata in Logical Form)

Alternating \mathcal{T} -automata are structures

$$\mathbb{A} = \langle Q, \theta : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}^\nabla Q, q_I, \Omega \rangle$$

consisting of

- ▶ a *finite* set Q of states
- ▶ a transition function $\theta : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}^\nabla Q$
- ▶ an initial state $q_I \in Q$
- ▶ a priority function $\Omega : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

Alternating Automata, Semantics

- ▶ $\mathbb{A} = \langle Q, \theta : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T^\nabla Q, q_I, \Omega \rangle$ is an alternating automaton
- ▶ $\mathbb{S} = \langle S, \sigma : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}S, s_I \rangle$ is a pointed \mathcal{T} -coalgebra

Definition

Acceptance games are parity graph games

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{S}) = \langle V_\exists, V_\forall, E, (q_I, s_I), \Omega_G \rangle$$

Position		Sets of Admissible Moves	Ω_G
$(q, s) \in Q \times S$	-	$\{(\theta(q), s)\}$	$\Omega(q)$
$(\bigwedge \tau, s) \in \mathcal{L}T^\nabla Q \times S$	\forall	$\{(q, s) \mid q \in \tau\}$	0
$(\bigvee \tau, s) \in \mathcal{L}T^\nabla Q \times S$	\exists	$\{(q, s) \mid q \in \tau\}$	0
$(\nabla \alpha, s) \in \mathcal{T}^\nabla Q \times S$	\exists	$\{Z \subseteq Q \times S \mid (\alpha, \sigma(s)) \in \overline{\mathcal{T}Z}\}$	0
$Z \subseteq Q \times S$	\forall	Z	0

Trans-alternating Automata

- ▶ Alternating automata: $\langle Q, \theta : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T^\nabla Q, q_I, \Omega \rangle$

Definition (Trans-alternating Automata)

$$\langle Q, \theta : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q, q_I, \Omega \rangle$$

Definition (Acceptance Games)

similar to the acceptance games of alternating automata

Complements of Trans-alternating Automata

- ▶ $\mathbb{A} = \langle Q, \theta : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q, q_I, \Omega \rangle$ is a trans-alternating aut'on

Definition (Complements of Trans-alternating Automata)

Define the complementary automaton

$$\mathbb{A}^c = \langle Q, \theta^c : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q, q_I, \Omega^c \rangle$$

such that

- ▶ $\theta^c(q) := \delta_1(\theta(q))$
- ▶ $\Omega^c(q) := \Omega(q) + 1$, for all $q \in Q$.

$$\delta_0 : \mathcal{L}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}Q$$

$$\delta_0(q) := q$$

$$\delta_0(\wedge \phi) := \bigvee \delta_0[\phi]$$

$$\delta_0(\bigvee \phi) := \bigwedge \delta_0[\phi]$$

$$\delta_1 : \mathcal{L}T_\omega^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T_\omega^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q$$

$$\delta_1(\nabla \alpha) := \Delta(\mathcal{T}\delta_0)\alpha$$

$$\delta_1(\wedge \phi) := \bigvee \delta_1[\phi]$$

$$\delta_1(\bigvee \phi) := \bigwedge \delta_1[\phi]$$

Complements of Trans-alternating Automata

Theorem

For every trans-alternating automaton \mathbb{A} , the automaton \mathbb{A}^c accepts precisely those pointed \mathcal{T} -coalgebras that are rejected by \mathbb{A} .

Trans-alternating and Alternating Automata

Theorem

There is an effective translation between

1. *Alternating Automata*
2. *Trans-alternating Automata*

$1 \rightarrow 2$ *is trivial*

Trans-alternating and Alternating Automata

- ▶ Alternating automata: $\langle Q, \theta : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T^\nabla Q, q_I, \Omega \rangle$
- ▶ Trans-alternating automata: $\langle Q, \theta : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T^\nabla \mathcal{L}Q, q_I, \Omega \rangle$

Definition (Semi-Transalternating Automata)

$$\langle Q, \theta : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}T^\nabla \mathcal{S}Q, q_I, \Omega \rangle$$

where

- ▶ \mathcal{S} is the functor taking a set Q to the set of bounded meet-semilattice terms $t ::= q \in Q \mid \top \mid t \wedge t$ over Q

Definition (Acception Games)

similar to the acceptance games of alternating automata

Trans-alternating and Alternating Automata

Theorem

There is an effective translation between

1. *Alternating Automata*
2. *Trans-alternating Automata*
3. *Semi-Transalternating Automata*

We showed $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$

Size Matters

Theorem

For every alternating automaton \mathbb{A} with n states **there is a complementing alternating automaton \mathbb{A}^c with $2^n \times n$ states.**

Size Matters

Theorem

For every alternating automaton \mathbb{A} with n states **there is a complementing alternating automaton \mathbb{A}^c with $2^n \times n$ states.**

Theorem

If \mathcal{T} is such that $\Delta\alpha \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}^\nabla Q$ for any $\nabla\alpha \in \mathcal{T}^\nabla Q$, then for any alternating \mathcal{T} -automaton of n states **there is a complementing alternating automaton with at most $n + c$ states, for some constant c .**

Some Conclusions

Summary

- ▶ Effective Complementation Procedure for Coalgebra Automata
- ▶ Coinductive Method of Game (Bi)Simulation for (some) Parity Graph Games

Some Conclusions

Summary

- ▶ Effective Complementation Procedure for Coalgebra Automata
- ▶ Coinductive Method of Game (Bi)Simulation for (some) Parity Graph Games

Corollaries

- ▶ (Boolean) Coalgebraic Logic is Negation-free
- ▶ Correspondence between (Second-Order Monadic) Coalgebraic Logic and Coalgebra Automata

Some Conclusions

Summary

- ▶ Effective Complementation Procedure for Coalgebra Automata
- ▶ Coinductive Method of Game (Bi)Simulation for (some) Parity Graph Games

Corollaries

- ▶ (Boolean) Coalgebraic Logic is Negation-free
- ▶ Correspondence between (Second-Order Monadic) Coalgebraic Logic and Coalgebra Automata

Open Questions

- ▶ Categorical Nature of the Correspondence
- ▶ Characterisation of Game (Bi)Similarity

Conclusions and References

Thank You

References

- ▶ Moss, *Coalgebraic Logic*, APAL, 1999
- ▶ Venema, *Automata and Fixed Point Logics: a Coalgebraic Perspective*, Information and Computation, 2006
- ▶ Kupke, Venema, *Coalgebraic automata theory: basic results*, LMCS, 2008
- ▶ Kupke, Kurz, Venema, *Completeness of Finitary Moss Logic*, AiML 2008
- ▶ Kissig, *Decidability of S2S*, MSc Thesis, ILLC, UvA, 2007
- ▶ Kissig, Venema, *Complementation of Coalgebra Automata*
- ▶ van Benthem, *Extensive Games as Process Models*, 2002